



The Fallacy of Cancer “Vaccines”

The *US News and World Report* cover story titled “The World’s First Cancer Vaccines,” April 3, 2006, pages 56-63, is misleading, significantly inaccurate, and gives a false sense of security to women against contracting cervical cancer. Like most of the articles, the truth is placed at the end of the article where fewer people read it.

**** They said... ****

“A prick against the skin of her upper arm, a quick injection, and Janelle Kitson was **protected against** a scourge of women; **cervical cancer.**”

“Kitson now has a good chance to avoid that fate [cervical cancer]. **Two types of this virus [HPV] cause 70 percent [70%] of all cervical cancers.** Remarkably, **the vaccine prevents infection** from these two types **100 percent of the time.**” (emphasis added)

Life-Systems Engineering Science Commentary: After reading this you are led to believe that the vaccine will stop cervical cancer 100% of the time in the 70% of cases from HPV – an amazing feat. Nothing could be further from the truth. **You will discover below, first, that this “news” is hugely misleading, because over 99.9% of women with the HPV virus DON’T get cervical cancer, and second, that HPV clears up on its own 90% of the time with no treatment required.**

**** The Truth... ****

(from *The Hidden Story of Cancer*)

Human Papilloma Virus (HPV) and Cervical Cancer. Unfortunately, even after more than 47 years since first publication, Dr. Warburg’s warnings have gone unheeded by researchers. They *still* don’t get it! In 2003, it was published that the human papilloma virus (HPV) was a major cause of cervical cancer (*New York Times*, “Untangling a Web of Cancer Controversies,” March 11, 2003, page D8.) In spite of Dr. Warburg’s emphatic warnings, again we have a highly misleading statement. This supposed “viral cause” is *not* the direct cause of the cancer. Again, in 2006, The *US News* article regurgitated the same information years later.

Apparently even known by the researchers and published in the 2003 article, is in their statement, “There may be circumstances where we’ll have to go even further than this particular vaccine to get full benefit.” If the vaccine completely prevented the occurrence of cervical cancer, then nothing more should be required – why should they “have to go further”? Polio is able to be prevented with a single vaccine injection. Nothing more is required because, in this case, the polio virus is actually the direct cause of the polio disease. However, a virus is *not* the primary cause of a cancer as you have already discovered in *The Hidden Story of Cancer* (Pinnacle Press).

